## Oklahoma MIECHV Expansion Program Logic Model (2011)

		I	0 0				
Assumptions	➤ Inputs/Resources →	Activities +	Outputs	≁		ong-term Jutcomes	
EBHV programs target different outcomes		Revamp referral, intake, triage processes	Central, electronic referral, intake, triage system	<b>→</b>		iency & gthen match of to EBHV	Aim
Overall MIECHV program outcomes are enhanced when program activities are targeted at neediest populations Problem Statement EBHV programs have greatest impact in high risk communities	OK MIECHV staff & consultants	EBHV interagency coordination	Est. local EBHV coalitions	→		hen client e continuum	
		Dedicate local EBHV ambassadors	Est. community connector agencies	→	Interagency MOUs, hire connectors	reness & use of	   <u>&gt;</u> :
	Funding: MIECHV federal agencies	Marketing campaign	Est. professional ad campaigns	→		reness, appeal, of EBHV	Aim 2
	Counties: Comanche, Muskogee, Oklahoma, Tulsa	Expansion of EBHV	Increase providers, programs, capacity per county	+		r impact leads to er state sion	
	Programs: C1, OCAP, OPAT EBHV models: NFP, HFA, PAT	New EBHV enrollment & retention strategies for clients and staff	<ul> <li>↓ staff turnover &amp;</li> <li>↑ professional</li> <li>development;</li> <li>↑ # families served,</li> <li>graduating, &amp; meeting</li> <li>EBHV goals</li> </ul>	<b>→</b>	Establish best practices on EBHV enrollment & sufficie retention	mic self-	Aim 3
	State Collaboration: county agencies, model developers, implementation agencies, Smart Start, Child Guidance, Community Connector agencies, MIECHV CoP- E Communities Other local supports	Community Needs Assessment	Psycho-social assessment data	<b>→</b>	Survey instrument developed and disseminated to potential EBHV clients	on service needs me visitation, pmental delays itism, sexual be- problems, abuse ect potential, readiness	Aim 4
		Evaluate effectiveness of EBHV	Quasi-experimental comparison of MIECHV benchmarks & constructs	<b>→</b>	constructs data col-	imarks &	Aim
		Other system improvements: Staff trainings, fidelity monitoring, quality assurances	Q.I. feedback loop to stimulate & inform EBHV system improvements	+	qualitative interview system data on desired workfor	.Q.C. evaluation n; increased orce etence	n 5